THE INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT MODEL AS QUALITY INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR THE MEDITERRANEAN ECOSYSTEMS

ELEFTHERIOS A. STAVRINOS (*)

Abstract

Integrated expert systems are computer programs that are used through the principles of quality information systems to simulate the problem of cotton crop management and coordination, in an irrigated area of 300 Km² in Thiva region (Biotia, Greece). This study describes, by some graphics, a crop simulation model of production and the ecosystem management, in order to give more ecologically balanced pest control alternative practices and gradually reduce pesticide use in Mediterranean agriculture.

Résumé

Les systemes intégrés sont des programmes pour ordinateur, utilisés à travers les principes du système informatique de qualité, visant à simuler le problème de gestion et de coordination d'une culture de coton, dans une aire irriguée de 300 Km² de la Région de Tbiva (Biotia, Grèce). L'étude écrit, à l'aide de quelques grapbiques, un modèle de simulation de la production d'une culture et la gestion de l'écosystème, de sort à établir des pratiques plus équitables de lutte contre les parasites, qui réduisent l'utilisation des pesticides dans l'agriculture Méditerranéenne.



he Mediterranean ecosystems could be characterized by the quality activities of Regional Development with *sporadic* and *chronic* problems. A sporadic ecosystem problem is a sudden adverse change in the status quo, requiring remedy through restoring the status quo. A

chronic ecosystem problem is a longstanding adverse situation, requiring remedy through changing the status quo. The danger is that the firefithting on sporadic problems may take continuing priority over effort where larger savings possible, i.e. chronic problem.

The difference between the historic and the optimum level with the use of natural resources on the basin of Mediterranean countries is a chronic Environmental problem. (J.M. Juran, F.M. Gryna, Jr. 1980). Chronic problems require a far reaching investigation. If the solution was easy the problem would not be chronic. The size of a chronic ecosystem problem as a qduality study, considering competition between countries or organization mechanismes, requires a simulation model with the following basic principles:

- 1. Definition of the output variables
- 2. Definition of the input variables
- 3. Description of the complete system relat-
- ing to the input and output variables

4. Data on the distribution of each input variable. This variability is accepted as inherent of the process.

The Mediterrranean ecosystem of a regional cotton cultural area and the above characteristics of the simulation model could be introduced with an IPM model with the following management functions:

1. *Record keeping* by the use of spreadsheet format for recording, storing and retrieving field data.

2. *Weather monitoring* from the weather database using a model of communication program provided.

3. Agronomic management with recommendations for irrigation, fertilization, seeding, crop termination and growth regulator applications.

4. *Pest management* using your monitoring data and background information, for guidelines evaluating the deseases-insects treatments recommendations.

5. Crop Simulation model predicts development of the crop, giving estimates of

(*) Postgraduate student in M.A.I. Chania, Greece.





maturity, yield and pest damage. 6. *Testing management alternatives* by entering hypothetical data.

The crop ecosytem simulation model

Basic and applied ecologists have become

interested in first step by landscapes because enviromental problems may occur at large scales.

Landscapes also provide a context to understand the role of ecosystems and has been considered by the international society. Thus, it appears as if lanscape ecology is a model, in the sense of *Kubn*, which is in an early stage of development.

The cotton model simulates a season

growth of the ecosystem cotton crop as dynamic analysis model, at first the performance of it as whole.

The rate of crop maturity, seasonal pattern for numbers of bolls, square mainstem nodes and nodes above the highest white bloom on a plant fore casts the yieldper acre as process-oriented (physiologically-based). It combines information cotton growth system as affected by temperature, solar radia-

Table II Leaf water potential	. Field: Thiva - Date: Aug	. 07, 1991 6:51 pm.		
Date	Degree-days	Last Irrig	LWP	Percent Photosyn
May 4	94.0	Planting	- 10.1	99
May 8	141.9	Planting	- 10.2	99
May 11	193.7	Planting	- 10.3	98
May 14	247.3	Planting	- 10,4	98
May 17	301.8	Planting	- 10.5	97
May 20	357.3	Planting	- 10.6	97
May 23	414.6	Planting	- 10.6	97
May 25	469.3	Planting	- 10.6	97
May 28	520.5	Planting	- 10.6	97
May 31	575.0	Planting	- 10.5	97
Jun 2	632.8	Planting	- 10.5	98
Jun 5	687.9	Planting	- 10.5	98
Jun 7	744.0	Planting	- 10.5	98
Jun 10	800 7	Planting	- 10 5	98
Jun 12	859.9	Planting	- 10 5	97
.lun 14	919.2	Planting	- 10 5	97
.lun 16	979 3	Planting	- 10.5	97
lun 18	1026.9	Planting	- 10.0	97
	1030.0	Planung	- 10.7	97
	1093.0	Planting	- 10,9	96
Jun 25	1000 5	Planung	- 11.0	96
Juli 25	1209.5	Planting	- 11.1	95
Jun 27	1266.6	Planting	- 11.3	94
Jun 29	1323.9	Planting	- 11.4	94
Jul 1	1380.2	Planting	- 11.6	93
Jul 4	1439.2	Planting	- 11.9	92
Jul 6	1498.8	Planting	- 12.2	91
Jul 8	1556.1	Planting	- 12.5	90
Jul 10	1613.3	Planting	- 12.8	88
Jul 12	1675.1	Planting	- 13.1	87
Jul 14	1734.5	Planting	- 13.4	86
Jul 16	1791.0	Planting	- 13.8	84
Jul 18	1846.5	Planting	- 14.2	83
Jul 20	1909.1	Planting	- 14.6	81
Jul 22	1969.1	Planting	- 15.0	79
Jul 24	2028.7	Planting	- 15.5	78
Jul 26	2088.5	Planting	- 15.9	76
Jul 28	2149.4	Planting	- 16.5	74
Jul 30	2207.5	Planting	- 17.0	71
Aug 1	2265.0	Planting	- 17.6	69
Aug 3	2325.9	Planting	- 18.1	67
Aug 5	2385.3	Planting	- 18.6	65
Aug 7	2443.3	Aug 7	- 19.2	63
Aug 9	2503.2	Aug 7	- 10.1	99
Aug 11	2562.3	Aug 7	- 10.2	99
Aug 13	2621.2	Aug 7	- 10.4	98
Aug 15	2677.7	Aug 7	- 10.5	98
Aug 17	2737.6	Aug 7	- 10.6	97
Aug 19	2797.7	Aug 7	- 10.7	97
Aug 21	2855.9	Aug 7	- 10.8	96
Aug 23	2913.9		- 10.8	96
Aug 25	2970.8		- 10.9	96
Aug 27	3030.0		- 11 0	96
Aug 29	3089.9		- 11.0	95
	3147 6		- 11.0 - 11.0	95
Sen 2	3202 9		- 11.0	90
	ULUL.J	nug (- 11.1	30

tion, water and nitrogen stress, and pest damage (Table II).

A key element in the structure of the model is the ability to *«self-correct» based on the field observations of growth parameters as output variables.* For example if the crop model simulates more mainstem nodes than are actually present in a field, the model adjusts the phytosynthesis rate and related numbers of fruits, leaves, stems, and roots, to reflect the field observations.

The crop simulation model is particularly useful for predicting the timing of crop development. The greater amount of accurate information provided when running the simulation model, the better will the model predict the crops phenology and resulting yield. Although the crop and pest models are intented to be useful information when utilized in this manner, likewise evaluate the effect of different irrigation schedules or of different timing of pesticides applications. Three separate pest simulation models have been developed as part of the cotton integrated Expert system. All three pest models are physiologically-based and are linked to the crop system model. All three pest models of the «spider mite», «lygus bug» and «beet armyworm» predict seasonal patters of eggs, nymphs and adults. These models estimate the amount of the present damage and expected damage through the end of the season and forecast the population of the pests the same time. (Graphs 5, 6).

The pest models interact with the crop model by simulating the damage by leaffeeding insects (estimating the effect on photosynthesis) and by fruit feeders and the resulting effect on fruit retention and yield. Every time the plant data spreadsheets are updated, update your pest data spreadsheets. This will ensure that the damage effect of these pests is acounted for.

- *Use of the model*: It will forecast (**Table I**) 1. First square, first boll and peak square
- 2. Recommended defoliation date
- 3. Recommended harvest date
- 4. Yield
- 5. Number of open bolls at harvest.
- It will generate graphs of (Graphs)
- 1. Squares and bolls through the season
- 2. Number of mainstem nodes and the
- number of nodes above
- 3. Rate of boll opening

4. Contribution of squares on the plant at any time to yield

5. Pest populations.

Since the graphs are divided into field informations as definition of input variables, it is relatively simple to select a sample of fields in different locations to represent different soils, climate and topographical positions in the countries of Mediterranean basin. The productivity of the fields is differed between the locations of the countries as well as between fields (**Graphs 1**, **2**, **3**, **4**).



Report for Thiva on 5/30			
Weather file: Thiva	Weather upda	ted through: 21/31/91	
Days since planting (4/24): 36			
Degree-days (>60F) since pla	nting: 551		
30 year average degree-days (>60F) since planting: 31	5	
Spider mites			
Action	Date	Based on	
Scout	5/30	DD/data Check	
Lvaus buas (v. 900314)			
Date	% Damaged or	Pesticide	
	missing squares	code	
No data			
Action	Date	Rased on	
Scout	5/30	Square damage	
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti	lo not include preseason on.	irrigations that may have been a	pplied.
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Becommended total	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7 5 in/ac	pplied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Irrigation Recommended total Total applied	io not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac	pplied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3.	applied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above Action	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3 Date	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3. Based on	applied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above Action Sample	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3 Date 5/30	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3. Based on Date/data check	applied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above Action Sample Soil fertility (v. 900314)	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3 Date 5/30	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3. Based on Date/data check	Applied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above Action Sample Soil fertility (v. 900314) Date	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3 Date 5/30 Lbs. nitrogen applied	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3. Based on Date/data check Nitrogen method code	Applied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date Nitrogen tyne code
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above Action Sample Soil fertility (v. 900314) Date	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3 Date 5/30 Lbs. nitrogen applied	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3. Based on Date/data check Nitrogen method code	pplied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date Nitrogen type code
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above Action Sample Soil fertility (v. 900314) Date No data Action	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3 Date 5/30 Lbs. nitrogen applied Based on	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3. Based on Date/data check Nitrogen method code	Applied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date Nitrogen type code
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above Action Sample Soil fertility (v. 900314) Date No data Action No nitrogen needed	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3 Date 5/30 Lbs. nitrogen applied Based on Soil N	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3. Based on Date/data check Nitrogen method code	Applied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date
Irrigation (v. 900314) (*) Note: Totals listed below d (**) See «explain reason» opti Action Irrigation Irrigation Recommended total Total applied Crop termination Need 3 values for node above Action Sample Soil fertility (v. 900314) Date No data Action No nitrogen needed Plant vigor (v. 900314)	lo not include preseason on. Date 7/4 9/9 bloom beteween 9 and 3 Date 5/30 Lbs. nitrogen applied Based on Soil N	irrigations that may have been a Amount 2.5 in/ac 5.0 in/ac 7.5 in/ac 0.0 in/ac 3. Based on Date/data check Nitrogen method code	Applied. Based on first via table last via table season schedule applied to date Nitrogen type code

2.0 0 = Beet armyworn larvae (b = obs.) UNITS: number per 30 sweeps = Pesticide Application 1.7 1.3 1.0 0.7 0.3 Meg 12 Jun 8 Jun 30 Jul 21 Rus 9 Rus 29 Graph 6 - Beet armyworm.

Conclusion

The ecosystem plant-insects in crop model analysis was used to interpret energy and matter flows. The ecosystem as chronic quality problem needs a similar integrated management program in order to provide reliable quality control as a network between the Mediterranean countries, working in a range of crops and educationeconomic policy situations. In many cases, policy and economic constrains will have to be removed in order to foster the widespread use of these less-toxic alternatives. In the growers community the critical needs of these issues are the Ecosystem Management Information, in the marketing systems througtout Mediterranean countries are the Comparative Studies of Ecosystem Management Alternatives and the Research-Analysis of Various Policies for «crop insurrance» including registration procedures and the licensies of professional controls.

References

Analytis S. (1979): *«Relation of temperature and growth of fungies»*. A mathematical approach. Ministry of Agriculture, Athens.

Bellot J. (1988): *«Dynamic Analysis of the Bebavior of two Land System Options Mediterraneennens No3: Serie A: 253-259».* Instituto Agronomio Mediterraneo de Zaragosa, Spain.

Bootsma A., Suzuki M. (1986): *«Zonation of optimum seeding period of winter wheat based on growing degree days»*. Can. L. Plant Sciences, 66: 789-793.

Ditner J.L., Lindsay S.C., Brundrett E., Jewett T.J. (1985): *Development of a microcomputer interface to microprocessor-based greenbouse environment controllers»*. Acta Hortic. 174: 497.

Halcourt D.G., Yee J.M., Meloche F (1986): «A computerbased management system fro pests in Ontario». Proc. Entomol. Soc. 117: 73-77.

Flint M.L. (1990): «Pest control Alternatives Management». California Agriculture. IMP of University of California. Davis.

Juran J.M. (1980): *«Quality Planning and Analysis p.* 578-588». McGraw Hil.

Nath S. (1986): *«Dynamic Databases for Prolog Programming p. 146-153».*

Raworth D.A. (1986): «An Economictbreshold function for the twospotted spider» mite (Tetranychus urticae) Can. Entomol. 118: 9-16.

Trimble R.M. (1986): *«Effect of temperature on oviposition and egg development in the spotted tentiform leafminer»*. Can. Entomol. (Lepidoptera: Gracillaridae) 118:781-787.

U.C. IPM 1990 Calex/Cotton. User's Guide. UC Of Davis. Calif.